## **CRE** ALABAMA CENTER for REAL ESTATE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA



www.acre.cba.ua.edu

## **New Construction Report – January 2010**

| Monthly Indicator  | Recent   | : Figures                              |  |                          |                          | y Dire<br>Near-T | Trends   |
|--|--|--|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| <b>Permits</b><br>January figures show an increase<br>of 34.3 percent from January '09<br>and a 4.3 percent decrease versus<br>the prior month.<br>As projected in last month's<br>report, January permits represent<br>the <b>third positive percentage gain</b><br>when compared to the same<br>month last year.<br>A weak 1 <sup>st</sup> quarter last year<br>suggests that this trend will<br>continue. | <u>Current Month</u><br>vs. Prior Month<br>vs. Last Year (YoY)<br>vs. Last 3 Mos. Avg. | Jan.<br>Dec.<br>Jan.<br>Oct – D        | <b>2010</b><br>2009<br>2009<br>Dec.'09 | 752<br>786<br>560<br>721 | <-4.3%><br>34.3%<br>4.4% |                  | The YoY growth in January<br>for housing permits (752)<br>when compared to the<br>January in 2009 (560),<br>represents the 3 <sup>rd</sup><br>consecutive positive YoY<br>growth percentage since<br>this survey began. Very<br>weak 2009 1st Qtr figures<br>during the height of<br>financial crisis explain<br>much of this advance. |
| StartsBuilding starts experienced an<br>increase from December of 0.1<br>percent and were 23.6 percent<br>higher than those of January<br>2008.Monthly January statewide<br>housing starts are 23.6 percent<br>above January '09, which is<br>slightly ahead of the South & US.  | <u>Current Month</u><br>vs. Prior Month<br>vs. Last Year (YoY)<br>vs. Last 3 Mos. Avg. | <b>Jan.</b><br>Dec.<br>Jan.<br>Oct – D | <b>2010</b><br>2009<br>2009<br>Dec.'09 | 743<br>742<br>601<br>752 | 0.1%<br>23.6%<br><-1.2%> |                  | The extension & expansion<br>of the homebuyer tax-credit<br>should favorably impact<br>starts for the first quarter of<br>2010, providing the<br>foundation for sustainable,<br>though not rapid, starts<br>growth in the future.  |

#### <u>State</u>

#### Commentary

Current statewide economic conditions, highlighted by significant unemployment rates, continue to challenge our housing market. January new construction results can perhaps best be described as mixed. Favorable news is that overall inventory continued to decline and pace of recent pricing declines appear to be slowing. Though cyclical, the unfavorable news was the uninspiring low level of actual January sales which is exactly what the market needs the most – demand. Statewide *new construction inventory* is currently estimated at **4,000 units**, a decline of 40%+/- from last January. According to McGraw-Hill, residential contract values increased by 3% in Jan '10 vs Jan '09 to 229 million (mirroring Jan 2003 @ 234 million / Jan decade avg for 00-09= 299 million). In 2010, assuming modest but continued growth in national & state GDP (ie avoid double-dip recession), Alabama housing starts & new construction sales have the opportunity to return to positive Y-O-Y growth but will still remain below normal production levels.

#### Local

During the month, 16 out of the 27 associations (59.3 percent) reported improvements from their 2009 January permit numbers. Nine associations had increases from their December permits, including Calhoun County (8.3%), Morgan County (81.2%), Dothan (33.3%), Mobile (22.7%), Tuscaloosa (75.0%), Muscle Shoals (336.7%), Phenix City (66.7%), South Alabama, and Walker County. Every local home building market is unique and significant fluctuations from one area to another are anticipated in the foreseeable future.

<sup>© 2010</sup> ACRE All Rights Reserved

**NOTE:** This representation is based in whole or in part on data supplied by the reporting boards/associations. The Alabama Center for Real Estate does not guarantee and is in no way responsible for its accuracy.

<sup>\*</sup>Prior data unavailable due to lack of data.

# ACRE ALABAMA CENTER for REAL ESTATE

### New Construction Report - January 2010

#### NEW SINGLE FAMILY BUILDING PERMIT STATISTIC TOTALS

|                      | Current Month | Last Month  | % Difference | Last Year  | % Difference | YTD        | YTD        | % Difference |
|----------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|
|                      | January-10    | December-09 |              | January-09 |              | January-10 | January-09 |              |
| Alabama State Total  | 752           | 786         | -4.3%        | 560        | 34.3%        | 752        | 560        | 34.3%        |
| South Total∗         | 18,400        | 16,300      | 12.9%        | 13,300     | 38.3%        | 229,100    | 299,100    | -23.4%       |
| United States Total∗ | 34,100        | 31,700      | 7.6%         | 24,200     | 40.9%        | 434,000    | 568,400    | -23.6%       |

\*Source Data: U.S. Census Bureau

#### NEW SINGLE FAMILY BUILDING PERMITS BY ASSOCIATION

| Association                    | Current Month | Last Month  | % Difference | Last Year  | % Difference | YTD        | YTD        | % Difference |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| Association                    | January-10    | December-09 |              | January-09 |              | January-10 | January-09 |              |
| Athens/Limestone HBA**         | 15            | 18          | -16.7%       | 10         | 50.0%        | 15         | 10         | 50.0%        |
| Baldwin County HBA**           | 71            | 91          | -22.0%       | 35         | 102.9%       | 71         | 35         | 102.9%       |
| Blount County HBA              | 1             | 1           | 0.0%         | 0          | N/A          | 1          | 0          | N/A          |
| Chilton County HBA             | 1             | 1           | 0.0%         | 0          | N/A          | 1          | 0          | N/A          |
| Cullman County HBA             | 0             | 1           | -100.0%      | 2          | -100.0%      | 0          | 2          | -100.0%      |
| Dekalb County HBA**            | 0             | 1           | -100.0%      | 0          | N/A          | 0          | 0          | N/A          |
| Enterprise HBA                 | 5             | 12          | -58.3%       | 8          | -37.5%       | 5          | 8          | -37.5%       |
| Greater Birmingham HBA**       | 114           | 125         | -8.8%        | 81         | 40.7%        | 114        | 81         | 40.7%        |
| Greater Calhoun County HBA**   | 13            | 12          | 8.3%         | 14         | -7.1%        | 13         | 14         | -7.1%        |
| Greater Gadsden HBA**          | 9             | 15          | -40.0%       | 6          | 50.0%        | 9          | 6          | 50.0%        |
| Greater Montgomery HBA         | 32            | 38          | -15.8%       | 28         | 14.3%        | 32         | 28         | 14.3%        |
| Greater Morgan County HBA**    | 13            | 11          | 18.2%        | 10         | 30.0%        | 13         | 10         | 30.0%        |
| HBA of Dothan & Wiregrass Area | 28            | 21          | 33.3%        | 17         | 64.7%        | 28         | 17         | 64.7%        |
| HBA of Metropolitan Mobile**   | 119           | 97          | 22.7%        | 53         | 124.5%       | 119        | 53         | 124.5%       |
| HBA of Tuscaloosa**            | 70            | 40          | 75.0%        | 37         | 89.2%        | 70         | 37         | 89.2%        |
| Huntsville/Madison HBA**       | 135           | 171         | -21.1%       | 141        | -4.3%        | 135        | 141        | -4.3%        |
| Jackson County HBA**           | 1             | 5           | -80.0%       | 4          | -75.0%       | 1          | 4          | -75.0%       |
| Lee County HBA                 | 56            | 60          | -6.7%        | 48         | 16.7%        | 56         | 48         | 16.7%        |
| Macon County HBA               | 1             | 1           | 0.0%         | 4          | -75.0%       | 1          | 4          | -75.0%       |
| Marshall County HBA**          | 3             | 22          | -86.4%       | 4          | -25.0%       | 3          | 4          | -25.0%       |
| Muscle Shoals HBA**            | 14            | 3           | 366.7%       | 5          | 180.0%       | 14         | 5          | 180.0%       |
| Northwest Alabama HBA          | 3             | 6           | -50.0%       | 3          | 0.0%         | 3          | 3          | 0.0%         |
| Phenix City HBA                | 30            | 18          | 66.7%        | 29         | 3.4%         | 30         | 29         | 3.4%         |
| South Alabama HBA              | 2             | 0           | N/A          | 1          | 100.0%       | 2          | 1          | 100.0%       |
| St. Clair County HBA**         | 13            | 15          | -13.3%       | 15         | -13.3%       | 13         | 15         | -13.3%       |
| Tallapoosa County HBA          | 1             | 1           | 0.0%         | 3          | -66.7%       | 1          | 3          | -66.7%       |
| Walker County HBA              | 2             | 0           | N/A          | 2          | 0.0%         | 2          | 2          | 0.0%         |

\*\*Source Partner: www.marketgraphicsalabama.com

Process: Every month data is collected from municipalities all around the state, in particular the municipalities' building/inspections department. We also limited our data collection to municipalities with a population of 2500 or greater. By gathering the number of single family new construction permits that are issued each month it allows observation of growth or decline in the new housing market.

# ACRE ALABAMA CENTER for REAL ESTATE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

#### **PROJECTED HOUSING STARTS TOTALS**

|                     | Current Month | Last Month  | % Difference | Last Year  | % Difference | YTD        | YTD        | % Difference |
|---------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|
|                     | January-10    | December-09 |              | January-09 |              | January-10 | January-09 |              |
| Alabama State Total | 743           | 742         | 0.1%         | 601        | 23.6%        | 743        | 601        | 23.6%        |
| South Total         | 17,931        | 18,218      | -1.6%        | 14,735     | 21.7%        | 225,821    | 323,250    | -30.1%       |
| United States Total | 34,281        | 36,049      | -4.9%        | 28,491     | 20.3%        | 427,897    | 614,315    | -30.3%       |

#### PROJECTED HOUSING STARTS BY LOCAL MARKETS

| Association                    | Current Month | Last Month  | % Difference | Last Year  | % Difference | YTD        | YTD        | % Difference |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| Association                    | January-10    | December-09 |              | January-09 |              | January-10 | January-09 |              |
| Athens/Limestone HBA           | 16            | 19          | -15.8%       | 11         | 45.5%        | 16         | 11         | 45.5%        |
| Baldwin County HBA             | 71            | 76          | -6.6%        | 44         | 61.4%        | 71         | 44         | 61.4%        |
| Blount County HBA              | 1             | 1           | 0.0%         | 0          | N/A          | 1          | 0          | N/A          |
| Chilton County HBA             | 2             | 2           | 0.0%         | 1          | 100.0%       | 2          | 1          | 100.0%       |
| Cullman County HBA             | 1             | 1           | 0.0%         | 2          | -50.0%       | 1          | 2          | -50.0%       |
| Dekalb County HBA              | 1             | 1           | 0.0%         | 1          | 0.0%         | 1          | 1          | 0.0%         |
| Enterprise HBA                 | 8             | 10          | -20.0%       | 9          | -11.1%       | 8          | 9          | -11.1%       |
| Greater Birmingham HBA         | 118           | 119         | -0.8%        | 87         | 35.6%        | 118        | 87         | 35.6%        |
| Greater Calhoun County HBA     | 10            | 19          | -47.4%       | 16         | -37.5%       | 10         | 16         | -37.5%       |
| Greater Gadsden HBA            | 11            | 14          | -21.4%       | 7          | 57.1%        | 11         | 7          | 57.1%        |
| Greater Montgomery HBA         | 32            | 29          | 10.3%        | 28         | 14.3%        | 32         | 28         | 14.3%        |
| Greater Morgan County HBA      | 11            | 8           | 37.5%        | 11         | 0.0%         | 11         | 11         | 0.0%         |
| HBA of Dothan & Wiregrass Area | 22            | 18          | 22.2%        | 19         | 15.8%        | 22         | 19         | 15.8%        |
| HBA of Metropolitan Mobile     | 106           | 88          | 20.5%        | 70         | 51.4%        | 106        | 70         | 51.4%        |
| HBA of Tuscaloosa              | 53            | 44          | 20.5%        | 41         | 29.3%        | 53         | 41         | 29.3%        |
| Huntsville/Madison HBA         | 151           | 160         | -5.6%        | 136        | 11.0%        | 151        | 136        | 11.0%        |
| Jackson County HBA             | 3             | 4           | -25.0%       | 2          | 50.0%        | 3          | 2          | 50.0%        |
| Lee County HBA                 | 57            | 55          | 3.6%         | 49         | 16.3%        | 57         | 49         | 16.3%        |
| Macon County HBA               | 1             | 1           | 0.0%         | 1          | 0.0%         | 1          | 1          | 0.0%         |
| Marshall County HBA            | 13            | 20          | -35.0%       | 6          | 116.7%       | 13         | 6          | 116.7%       |
| Muscle Shoals HBA              | 9             | 4           | 125.0%       | 7          | 28.6%        | 9          | 7          | 28.6%        |
| Northwest Alabama HBA          | 4             | 4           | 0.0%         | 2          | 100.0%       | 4          | 2          | 100.0%       |
| Phenix City HBA                | 24            | 19          | 26.3%        | 23         | 4.3%         | 24         | 23         | 4.3%         |
| South Alabama HBA              | 2             | 5           | -60.0%       | 2          | 0.0%         | 2          | 2          | 0.0%         |
| St. Clair County HBA           | 14            | 19          | -26.3%       | 23         | -39.1%       | 14         | 23         | -39.1%       |
| Tallapoosa County HBA          | 1             | 1           | 0.0%         | 2          | -50.0%       | 1          | 2          | -50.0%       |
| Walker County HBA              | 1             | 1           | 0.0%         | 1          | 0.0%         | 1          | 1          | 0.0%         |

\*Starts data not available due to that is it a calculated statistic from previous months data that is not available. © 2010 ACRE All Rights Reserved

NOTE: This representation is based in whole or in part on data supplied by the local municipality's building department. Alabama Center for Real Estate - University of Alabama does not guarantee and is in no way responsible for its accuracy.

Definition: Housing starts are a derived value using a percentage distribution based on the particular region in the United States multiplied times the number of building permits issued in that particular month. Calculation: The distribution for the South Region is as follows: Same Month as Authorization = 44%; 1 Month Prior = 37%; 2 Months Prior = 9%; 3 Months Prior = 3%; 4 Months or more = 7% To calculate July for example: = (0.44\*July Permits) + (0.37\*June Permits) + (0.09\*May Permits) + (0.03\*April Permits) + (0.07\*March Permits) Some variance by decimal extension CRE ALABAMA CENTER for REAL ESTATE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA



### Metro Market New Construction Report\*

(The 5 Metro Alabama Markets Represent +/-70% of the State's New Construction Transactions)

#### Metro Markets Combined

|                             | Current Month | Last Month  | % Difference | Last Year  | % Difference | YTD        | YTD        | % Difference |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|
|                             | January-10    | December-09 |              | January-09 |              | January-10 | January-09 |              |
| Total New Construction Sold | 205           | 375         | -45.3%       | 365        | -43.8%       | 205        | 365        | -43.8%       |
| Number of Units on Market   | 2,577         | 2,652       | -2.8%        | 4,292      | -40.0%       | N/A        | N/A        | N/A          |
| Median Selling Price        | \$ 196,430    | \$ 187,768  | 4.6%         | \$ 202,516 | -3.0%        | \$ 196,430 | \$ 202,516 | -3.0%        |
| Average Selling Price       | \$ 218,730    | \$ 208,532  | 4.9%         | \$ 231,845 | -5.7%        | \$ 224,517 | \$ 228,490 | -1.7%        |
| Average Days on Market      | 133           | 116         | 14.9%        | 128        | 4.5%         | 133        | 128        | 4.5%         |

#### **Total New Construction Sold**

|            | Current Month | Last Month  | % Difference | Last Year  | % Difference | YTD              | YTD        | % Difference |
|------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------------|------------|--------------|
|            | January-10    | December-09 |              | January-09 |              | January-10       | January-09 |              |
| Birmingham | 95            | 144         | -34.0%       | 208        | -54.3%       | <mark>9</mark> 5 | 208        | -54.3%       |
| Huntsville | 59            | 136         | -56.6%       | 85         | -30.6%       | <mark>5</mark> 9 | 85         | -30.6%       |
| Mobile     | 22            | 37          | -40.5%       | 33         | -33.3%       | 22               | 33         | -33.3%       |
| Montgomery | 18            | 38          | -52.6%       | 20         | -10.0%       | 18               | 20         | -10.0%       |
| Tuscaloosa | 11            | 20          | -45.0%       | 19         | -42.1%       | 11               | 19         | -42.1%       |

#### Number of Units on Market

|            | Current Month | Last Month  | % Difference | Last Year  | % Difference | I/S   |
|------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|------------|--------------|-------|
|            | January-10    | December-09 |              | January-09 |              | Ratio |
| Birmingham | 1248          | 1,283       | -2.7%        | 2539       | -50.8%       | 13.1  |
| Huntsville | 630           | 656         | -4.0%        | 788        | -20.1%       | 10.7  |
| Mobile     | 255           | 286         | -10.8%       | 192        | 32.8%        | 11.6  |
| Montgomery | 212           | 199         | 6.5%         | 407        | -47.9%       | 11.8  |
| Tuscaloosa | 232           | 228         | 1.8%         | 366        | -36.6%       | 21.1  |

#### **Median Selling Price**

|            | Current Month | Last Month  | % Difference | Last Year  | % Difference | YTD                       | YTD        | % Difference |
|------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|------------|--------------|---------------------------|------------|--------------|
|            | January-10    | December-09 |              | January-09 |              | January-10                | January-09 |              |
| Birmingham | \$ 200,000    | \$ 191,002  | 4.7%         | \$ 211,548 | -5.5%        | \$ 200,000                | \$ 211,548 | -5.5%        |
| Huntsville | \$ 236,301    | \$ 207,168  | 14.1%        | \$ 242,700 | -2.6%        | \$ 236,301                | \$ 242,700 | -2.6%        |
| Mobile     | \$ 187,900    | \$ 181,102  | 3.8%         | \$ 199,900 | -6.0%        | \$ 187,900                | \$ 199,900 | -6.0%        |
| Montgomery | \$ 197,950    | \$ 210,450  | -5.9%        | \$ 190,432 | 3.9%         | \$ 197, <mark>9</mark> 50 | \$ 190,432 | 3.9%         |
| Tuscaloosa | \$ 160,000    | \$ 149,118  | 7.3%         | \$ 168,000 | -4.8%        | \$ 160,000                | \$ 168,000 | -4.8%        |

#### **Average Selling Price**

|            | Current Month | Last Month  | % Difference | Last Year  | % Difference | YTD        | YTD        | % Difference |
|------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|
|            | January-10    | December-09 |              | January-09 |              | January-10 | January-09 |              |
| Birmingham | \$ 242,389    | \$ 225,747  | 7.4%         | \$ 246,910 | -1.8%        | \$ 242,389 | \$ 246,910 | -1.8%        |
| Huntsville | \$ 247,581    | \$ 239,636  | 3.3%         | \$ 262,394 | -5.6%        | \$ 247,581 | \$ 262,394 | -5.6%        |
| Mobile     | \$ 223,297    | \$ 192,556  | 16.0%        | \$ 199,881 | 11.7%        | \$ 223,297 | \$ 199,881 | 11.7%        |
| Montgomery | \$ 215,594    | \$ 219,633  | -1.8%        | \$ 229,277 | -6.0%        | \$ 215,594 | \$ 229,277 | -6.0%        |
| Tuscaloosa | \$ 164,787    | \$ 165,089  | -0.2%        | \$ 220,764 | -25.4%       | \$ 193,724 | \$ 203,987 | -5.0%        |

#### Average Days on Market

|            | Current Month | Last Month  | % Difference | Last Year   | % Difference | YTD         | YTD         | % Difference |
|------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
|            | January-10    | December-09 |              | January-09  |              | January-10  | January-09  |              |
| Birmingham | Unavailable   | Unavailable | N/A          | Unavailable | N/A          | Unavailable | Unavailable | N/A          |
| Huntsville | 145           | 76          | 90.8%        | 75          | 93.3%        | 145         | 75          | 93.3%        |
| Mobile     | 117           | 79          | 48.1%        | 115         | 1.7%         | 117         | 115         | 1.7%         |
| Montgomery | 118           | 81          | 45.7%        | 165         | -28.5%       | 118         | 165         | -28.5%       |
| Tuscaloosa | 153           | 228         | -32.9%       | 155         | -1.3%        | 153         | 155         | -1.3%        |

\* Source: MLS







